

157



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/263,689	03/05/1999	JIAN NI	1488.0560002	2137

7590 09/21/2005

STERNE KESSLER GOLDSTEIN & FOX
1100 NEW YORK AVENUE N W
SUITE 600
WASHINGTON, DC 200053934

EXAMINER

CANELLA, KAREN A

ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER

1643

DATE MAILED: 09/21/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary

Application No.

09/263,689

Applicant(s)

NI ET AL.

Examiner

Karen A. Canella

Art Unit

1643

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on ____.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 141-172 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) ____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) ____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 141-172 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) ____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) ____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on ____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
- ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 - ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. ____.
 - ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- | | |
|--|---|
| 1) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. ____. |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date ____. | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: ____. |

DETAILED ACTION

1. After review and reconsideration, the finality of the Office action of January 14, 2003 is withdrawn in favor of the rejections below.
2. Claims 90-114, 116-120, 128-131 have been canceled. Claims 141-172 have been added and are under consideration.
3. Sections of Title 35, U.S. Code, not found in this action can be found in a prior action.
4. Claims 141-172 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed invention is not supported by either a specific, substantial asserted utility or a well-established utility. The instant invention is drawn to the protein of SEQ ID NO:4 and fragments of SEQ ID NO:4 which consist of at least 30 or 50 contiguous amino acid sequence of SEQ ID NO:4 as well as specific antigenic fragments of SEQ ID NO:4, predicted by the specification to have antigenic activity such as residues 62-102 of SEQ ID NO:4, residues 226-259 of SEQ ID NO:4 and residues 197-308 of SEQ ID NO:4. The specification identifies SEQ ID NO:4 as belonging to the Galectin family of proteins recognized to have the ability to bind beta-galactoside in a calcium-independent manner. The art teaches that members of this class are distinguished from other lectins by the presence of a conserved carbohydrate recognition domain. The instant specification lacks a specific, substantial asserted utility because it fails to provide for a non-ambiguous usage of the claimed protein. On page 27, lines 20-25, the specification states

It is believed that certain tissues in mammals with certain diseases (cancer, autoimmune diseases, inflammatory diseases, asthma, and allergic diseases) express significantly altered (enhanced or decreased) levels of the galectin 8, 9, 10, or 10SV protein and mRNA encoding the galectin 8, 9, 10, or 10SV protein when compared to a corresponding "standard" mammal, i.e., a mammal of the same species not having the disease.

It is noted with particular emphasis that the specification fails to assert if the claimed protein is over-expressed or under-expressed in any of the stated diseases. Because of this defect the stated

Art Unit: 1643

utility is neither specific nor substantial because the condition of perhaps being over expressed or perhaps being under-expressed does not provide for a specific, substantial assertion.

It is further noted that the specification contemplates on page 29, lines 3-5,

The present invention is useful for detecting diseases in mammals (for example, cancer, autoimmune diseases, inflammatory diseases, asthma, and allergic diseases), and on page 30, lines 14-18,

The ability of galectin 8, 9, 10, or 10SV to modulate growth regulatory activity may be therapeutically valuable in the treatment of clinical manifestations of such cell regulatory disorders. Disorders which can be treated include, but should not be limited to, autoimmune disease, cancer (preferably, melanoma, renal, astrocytoma, and Hodgkin disease), inflammatory disease, wound healing, arteriosclerosis, other heart diseases, microbe infection (virus, fungal, bacterial, and parasite), asthma, and allergic diseases.

However, no further information is given with regard to detecting an over expression or an under expression of SEQ ID NO:4 for the detection of cancer, autoimmune diseases, inflammatory diseases, asthma, and allergic diseases in mammal and no further information is given with regard to the need to decrease or increase the level of SEQ ID NO:4 for the treatment of autoimmune disease, cancer, inflammatory disease, wound healing, arteriosclerosis, other heart diseases, microbe infection, asthma, and allergic diseases. Further, as stated in the Office action of February 1, 2001 (page 4, line 15 to page 5, line 2), membership in the family of galectins does not confer a specific substantial utility to the instant SEQ ID NO:4 because the family encompasses proteins having widely different functions. Further, the ability to bind beta-galactoside in a calcium-independent manner does not provide a specific, substantial utility because that property is shared by numerous proteins of the galectin family, which as stated above, have widely differing functional attributes. It is therefore concluded that the instant specification lacks a specific, substantial and asserted utility for SEQ ID NO:4.

Art Unit: 1643

5. Claims 141-172 are also rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph. Specifically, since the claimed invention is not supported by either a specific, substantial and credible asserted utility or a well established utility for the reasons set forth above, one skilled in the art clearly would not know how to use the claimed invention.

6. Applicant argues that the rejection of the post-filing date references for establishing the specific and substantial utility of the instant invention are not appropriate because the case law cited is directed to enablement rather than utility. Applicant further argues that it is well-known that post-filing date references and subsequently-generated data can be used to support the credibility of a utility asserted in the specification. The post-filing date reference of Sat et al (Glycobiology, 2002, Vol. 12, pp. 191-197) teach that glaectin-9 which is identical to the instant SEQ ID NO:4, is a eosinophil chemoattractant. The post filing reference of Hirashima et al (International Archives of Allergy and Immunology, 2000, Vol. 122, suppl 1, pages 6-9) teaches that there is a correlation between heightened eosinophil activity and asthma. The combination of references would corroborate the specification claims to a treatment or diagnosis of asthma if there was such an asserted utility for the over-expression of SEQ ID NO:4 and the condition of asthma. However, the specification fails to provide this assertion because it contemplates that the claimed polypeptides can be either over expressed or under-expressed in the condition of asthma. Therefore the post filing date references have no bearing on the specification as filed. A statement that the polypeptide of may be over expressed in a given disease state or may be over expressed in a given disease state is tantamount to no assertion at all. The submission of the post-filing reference indicating the usefulness of the claimed polypeptide can not be used to confirm the credibility of the asserted utility when the specification is lacking an asserted utility.

7. Claims 166-172 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention.

Art Unit: 1643

Claim 166 is drawn to an isolated protein consisting of a fragment of SEQ ID NO:4, wherein said fragment consists of at least 30 contiguous amino acid of SEQ ID NO:4. Claim 167 embodies the isolated protein of claim 166 wherein said fragment consists of at least 50 contiguous amino acids of SEQ ID NO:4. Claims 168-172 depend on the identity of the isolated proteins of claim 166.

The specification states on page 24, lines 10-19 that the invention includes polypeptides which are 95% identical, still more preferably at least 96%, 97%, 98% or 99% identical to the polypeptides described above and also include portions of such polypeptides with at least 30 amino acids and preferably at least 50 amino acids.

One of skill in the art upon reading of the specification would conclude that the portions of the polypeptides are comprised within a variant of SEQ ID NO:4 having overall 95-99% identity to SEQ ID NO:4. Therefore, the instant claims requiring fragments of SEQ ID NO:4 and not requiring an overall sequence similarity of 95-99% to SEQ ID NO:4 are not adequately supported by the specification as filed.

8. Claims 166-172 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the enablement requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention.

The specification states on page 26, lines 16-19 that antigenic-epitope bearing peptides and polypeptides of the invention preferably contain a sequence of at least seven, more preferably at least nine, and most preferably between about 15 to about 30 amino acids. Claims 166 and 168-172 encompass any fragment of SEQ ID NO:4 having at least 30 contiguous amino acids of SEQ ID NO:4 without regard as to any functional characteristic of the fragment. The specification further states on page 26, line 23 that the antigenic polypeptides identified from SEQ ID NO:4 are residues 62-101, 226-259 and 197-308. Claims 166-173 read on fragments of SEQ ID NO:4 which include fragments outside of the specific regions, such as fragments taken from residues 1-61 and residues 102-198. The specification fails to teach how to use said broadly claimed fragments of SEQ ID NO:4.

Art Unit: 1643

It is well known in the art that polypeptides are folded 3-dimensional structures, the function and stability of which are directly related to a specific conformation (Mathews and Van Holde, Biochemistry, 1996, pp. 165-171, cited in a previous Office action). In any given polypeptide, amino acids distant from one another in the primary sequence may be closely located in the folded, 3-dimensional structure (Mathews and Van Holde, Biochemistry, 1996, pp. 166, figure 6.1). The specific conformation of a polypeptide results from non-covalent interactions between amino acids, beyond what is dictated by the primary amino acid sequence. Fragments of SEQ ID NO:4 taken out of the context of the entirety of SEQ ID NO:4 can potentially have radically altered three dimensional structure relative to the corresponding three dimensional structure within the SEQ ID NO:4 environment (Matthews, B. "Genetic and Structural Analysis of the Protein Stability Problem", cited in a previous Office action). Thus, the consequences of the altered sequence environment cannot be predicted. Due to these reasons, one of skill in the art would be forced into undue experimentation in order to use the broadly claimed invention.

9. All other rejections and objections as set forth in the previous office action are withdrawn in light of applicant's amendments.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Karen A. Canella whose telephone number is (571)272-0828. The examiner can normally be reached on 11 am to 10 pm, except Wed, Fri.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Larry Helms can be reached on (571)272-0832. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Application/Control Number: 09/263,689

Page 7

Art Unit: 1643

Karen A. Canella, Ph.D.

9/15/2005


KARENA CANELLA PH.D
PRIMARY EXAMINER